

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2003) KLR VOL 9-10 PART 166 pp. 2309-2426

SEPTEMBER - OCTOBER 2003

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

SEPTEMBER

1. Ow Honda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

OCTOBER

1. Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335
2. Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

COURT OF APPEAL

- Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 9-10 KLR**

ACTIONS - Hearing - Delay in - Effect - A party is not to be punished for such delay - That is not traceable to him (H7) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

ACTIONS - Parties - Joinder of - Limitation - Where a statute has specifically provided for parties to an action - Common law principles of joinder of necessary parties - Will not apply (H6) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

APPEALS - Issues - Not based on grounds - Fate - Where an issue is not predicated on any ground of appeal - It becomes incompetent and liable to be struck out (H6) Ow'honda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Supremacy - Constitution allows for rules of procedure to be made - But does not make procedure to be master of the law (H4) Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335

COURT PROCESSES - Originating summons - Purpose - The summons is used where there is no serious dispute as to facts - Save for determination of question of construction - Arising under an instrument (H2) Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335

COURT PROCESSES - Processing error - Effect on party - Such error should not be visited on a party - Where he has done all that the law requires of him - In respect of the process (H1) Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335

ELECTION PETITIONS - Amendment - Time limit - Electoral Act 2002 para.14(2) - Substantial amendments will not be allowed - After the expiration of thirty days - Following presentation of the petition (H5) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

ELECTION PETITIONS - Federal High Court rules - Application - Scope - The rules shall be applied with modifications - As may be necessary to render them applicable - Vis-a-vis the Electoral Act (H2) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 9-10 KLR **iii**

ELECTION PETITIONS - Practice & procedure - Rules of court - By para. 14(1) election tribunal is enjoined - To use Civil Procedure Rules of Federal High Court - Relating to amendment of pleadings (H4) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

ELECTION PETITIONS - Words & phrases - “Presented” - Meaning - By s. 132 Electoral Act 2002 - From the context it was used - The word means filed (H6) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

JUDGMENTS - Mistakes - Effect on appeal - It is not every mistake that will result in an appeal being allowed - It is only such as has occasioned a miscarriage of justice (H7) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Authority - Distinction of - Unongo v. Aku - The case is inapplicable in the instance - As it dealt with fixing a period within which a petition must be determined (H3) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

LAND LAW - Identity of land - Proof - Before claim for title is granted - The land to which it relates must be identified with certainty - Otherwise the claim must fail (H4) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

LAND LAW - Title - Connected land - Proof - By s.46 of Evidence Act - Acts of possession over a piece of land - May be evidence of ownership of other connected land (H5) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

LAND LAW - Title - Customary grant - Proof - Ogunleye v. Oni - If a party bases his title on grant by a family - He must plead origin of title of that family (H3) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Manner of - A party may plead and prove his title - In any of the five recognized ways - As none of the ways is superior to others (H8) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff must first prove valid root of title - In order to claim title on acts of ownership - Or long possession

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 9-10 KLR**

(H1) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

LAND LAW - Trespass - Damages - A person may fail in his claim for title - But may succeed in claim for trespass - Since both claims are independent (H2) Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

PLEADINGS - Amendment - Basic principles - An amendment for purposes of determining the real questions in issue - Ought to be allowed by court - Unless it will entail injustice (H6) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Procedural irregularity - Effect - Such irregularity should not vitiate a suit - Once it is shown that no party has suffered a miscarriage of justice (H3) Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335

SUPREME COURT - Powers - By s. 22 of Supreme Court Act - The court can make necessary order - For determining the real question in an appeal (H10) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

SUPREME COURT - Powers - Supreme Court Act s.22 - Invocation of - Basis - The matter must have been raised in lower court - And there must be enough materials before the court - To enable it take a decision (H11) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

WORDS & PHRASES - "Subject to" - Meaning - The expression subordinates provisions of the subject section - To the section referred to - Which is intended not to be affected by provisions of the latter (H1) Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

COURT OF APPEAL

ACTIONS - Damages - Proof - Where issues are not disputed - Minimum evidence on the side of party who is to prove - Will satisfy the requirement of proof (H3) Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413 CA

COURTS - Evidence - Oral testimonies - Veracity of such testimonies of parties shall be tested against documentary evidence - Where court is left with both kind of evidence (H2) Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413 CA

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Appraisal and ascription of probative value to testimony is duty of trial court - And appellate court only interferes - Where trial court has made improper evaluation (H1) Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, s. 254 Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335

Electoral Act 1982, SS. 129 & 140 Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

Electoral Act 2002, SS. 132,133 and the schedule Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

Evidence Act, s. 91(a) Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413, s.46 Owhonda v. Chukwuemeka p. 2309

Federal High Court Rules 2000, O. 7 r. 8 Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335, Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

Supreme Court Act, s. 22 Yusuf v. Obasanjo p. 2355

Uniform Rules of High Courts, O. 2 r. 1 Famfa Oil Limited v. A-G Federation p. 2335

COURT OF APPEAL

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. 6 r. 9(5) Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413 CA

Partnership Laws of Lagos State, ss. 2, 21, 22 Babatunde v. Model Industries Nig. Ltd. p. 2413 CA